

This transcript was produced as the meeting progressed. It has not been edited or reviewed for corrections.

TEST TEST TEST TEST TEST TEST
TEST TEST TEST

.
>>> FIVE MEMBERS APOINTED BY THE
NEW YORK STATE GAMING
COMMISSION, FIVE MEMBERS
APPOINTED AFFORD THE ABILITY TO
ESTABLISH FORM AND UNDERTAKE
ACTION.

THIS PRESENT MEETING OF THE
BOARD IS NOW CALLED TO ORDER.
ESTABLISHMENT OF A FORUM
REQUIRES THREE MEMBERS BEING
PRESENT.

PLEASE INDICATE YOUR PRESENCE
WHEN YOUR NAME IS CALLED.

PAUL FRAP SIS.

>> PRESENT.

>> DENNIS?

>> PRESENT.

>> STUART?

WILLIAM THOMPSON?

>> PRESENT.

>> SINCE THE CONDUCT OF THE LAST
BOARD MEETING, THE COMMISSION
DESIGNATED KEVIN LAW AS
CHAIRMAN.

CHAIRMAN LAW?

>> THANK YOU, ROBERT.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS IS A
REVIEW OF THE MINUTES OF MAY
12th, 2014 THAT HAVE BEEN
PROVIDED TO THE MEMBERS IN
ADVANCE AND AT THIS TIME, I'D
LIKE TO ASK THE MEMBERS IF
THERE'S ANY CORRECTIONS ON
AMENDMENTS?

HEARING NONE, I MOVE TO APPROVE?

>> JUST NEED TO ACCEPT THESE.

>> HEARING NO EDITS OR
CORRECTIONS, AND SO WE WILL
ACCEPT THE MINUTES AS
DISTRIBUTED.

ROB, WHAT IS THE NEXT ITEM?

>> ACQUISITION CORPORATION
SOUGHT TO DEVELOP A GAMING
FACILITY IN REGION 2 LOCATED
WITHIN THE TOWN OF FLORIDA,
MONTGOMERY COUNTY.
STAFF PREPARED AND SERKLATED A

MEMORANDUM DETAILING SPECIFIC
ISSUES WITH THE FLORIDA
ACQUISITION COMPANY, REQUEST FOR
APPLICATION, PHYSICAL FILING,
AND CONTENT.

IN SHORT, STAFF FINDS THAT THE
FLORIDA ACQUISITION CORPORATION
FAILED TO FILE REQUIRED
MATERIALS AND OBJECTIVELY FAILED
TO MEET THRESHOLD APPLICATION
REQUIREMENTS.

>> COMMISSIONERS, ANY QUESTIONS
REGARDING THE MEMORANDUM
DISTRIBUTED REGARDING THE
FLORIDA ACQUISITION CORPORATION
OR THE APPLICATION ITSELF?

>> MR. CHAIRMAN?

I'M DIRECTOR OF FLORIDA --

>> HOLD --

PLEASE HAVE A SEAT.

AND I'LL BE WITH YOU IN A
SECOND.

FIRST, WE -- I WANT TO GET A
MOTION ON THE TABLE, AND THEN
I'LL ASK IF THERE'S ANY
QUESTIONS.

SO BASE ON THE MEMORANDUM AND
COMMENTS, A MOTION TO FIRST
DISQUALIFY FLORIDA ACQUISITION
CORPORATION?

>> I MOVE.

>> SECOND?

>> THERE'S A MOTION ON THE
TABLE.

DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION FROM
ANY OF THE COMMISSIONERS?
HEARING NONE.

WHILE WE DO NOT HAVE A PUBLIC
PORTION COMPONENT OF THIS, A
GENTLEMAN JUST STOOD UP AND
ASKED TO BE RECOGNIZED.

I'LL ASK YOU TO STATE YOUR NAME
AND WHERE YOU ARE FROM, AND THEN
WE'LL LET YOU KNOW IF WE'LL
ALLOW YOU TO PARTICIPATE IN OUR
DISCUSSIONS.

>> THANK YOU, SIR, I'M JOHN BAR,
DIRECTOR OF THE FLORIDA
CORPORATION.

HERE WITH THE BOARD.

[INAUDIBLE]

>> WE'RE NOT OBLIGATED TO
REQUIRE YOU TO, BUT WHAT WE'RE
NOT ALLOWED TO DO IS ALLOW TO

ENGAGE IN DISCUSSIONS WITH YOU, SIR, BECAUSE THAT WOULD BE UNFAIR DELIBERATIONS WITH THE APPLICANT, AND THERE ARE 16 OF THEM, HOWEVER, AS A CURTESY TO THE MAYOR WHO IS NOT AN APPLICANT, WE WILL ALLOW YOU TO SPEAK FOR THREE MINUTES, NO MORE, AND IF YOU COULD STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD, WE'LL ENTERTAIN COMMENTS FROM YOU. NO DISRESPECT TO YOU, SIR, IT'S JUST WHAT WE ARE NOT ALLOWED TO DO.

>> I APPRECIATE YOUR ATTENTION ON THIS AS I KNOW IT'S UNUSUAL. THANK YOU, ALL, THANK YOU BECAUSE I HAVE A TORN ACL.

>> SIT DOWN.

WE'LL HOLD YOU TO THREE MINUTES.

>> I'LL BE VERY CLOSE TO THREE MINUTES I'M SURE.

I'D LIKE TO THANK YOU.

YOU HAVE A THANKLESS AND CONTROVERSIAL JOB HERE, BUT I'M K-A-N-N-E-C-H-E-N.

I REPRESENT RESIDENTS IN MY CITY AND 31,000 RESIDENTS IN MONTGOMERY COUNTY.

WE ARE SUBJECT TO EXTREME POVERTY, I LOST AN INDUSTRY YESTERDAY, 120 JOBS LEAVING MY COMMUNITY.

WE ARE IN A REALLY TOUGH SPOT, AND I KNOW OTHER COMMUNITIES ARE EXPERIENCING SIMILAR SITUATIONS, BUT WE ARE ONE OF THEE MOST IMPOVERISHED COUNTIES IN THE STATE.

I'M HERE TO RESPECTFULLY ASK THIS COMMISSION RECONSIDER THE RECOMMENDATIONS TO DISQUALIFY THE FLORIDA ACQUISITION APPLICATION TO LOCATE A CASINO IN OUR COMMUNITY.

IN CONSIDERING MY REQUEST, I'LL REVIEW MY EXPERIENCE OF THE PROCESS.

THE TOWN OF FLORIDA AND COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY WORKED FOR MONTHS ON WHAT WE PERCEIVE TO BE THE FIRST GLIMMER OF HOPE OUR IMPOVERISHED COMMUNITIES HAVE HAD IN YEARS, EVEN DECADES.

THIS PROJECT WOULD TRANSFORM OUR LOCALITY GIVEN THE SCOPE OF THE CASINO COMPLEX, GOLF COURSE MEKKAH, AND ALSO HAVING THE RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL OPPORTUNITIES INHERENT IN THE PROJECT.

THE RESPONSE FROM OUR CITIZENS ARE UNIQUELY SUPPORTIVE IN AN UNPRECEDENTED WAY.

BELIEVE ME, AS A SMALL TOWN MAYOR, NOT MANY THINGS GARNER 98% OF THE POPULATION'S SUPPORT, SO THIS HAS BEEN PHENOMENAL GIVING US HOPE, FINALLY.

FOLLOWING THESE OUTREACHES WITH THE COMMUNITIES OF MONTGOMERY AGAIN, AND FLORIDA, AND THE COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY HAD GONE TO GREAT CANADIAN TO ASK THEM TO SERIOUSLY CONSIDER WHAT DIRECTION THE PROJECT WOULD GO IN AS THE PUBLIC SUPPORT LARGELY PUSHED THIS FORWARD.

THAT'S OUR REQUEST AS WE INVOLVE THE MUNICIPALITY TO MEET WITH THE GAMING COMMISSION.

MR. FISHER, IT WAS NICE TO SEE AND DISCUSS OUR ISSUES ABOUT THE AMBITIOUS TIMELINE AND ECONOMIC MODEL THAT HAS BEEN PROPOSED.

THE BUSINESS DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR, KEN ROSE AND STAFF, MET WITH MR. FISHER IN JUNE PRIOR TO SUBMISSION DEADLINE.

MR. FISHER LISTENED ATTENTIVELY TO OUR CONCERNS AND CAREFUL TO MAKE NO PROMISES.

I WANT TO MAKE THAT VERY CLEAR. THIS WAS TO BE EXPECTED.

HOWEVER, ONCE WE WERE REPEATEDLY INSTRUCTED THAT TO HAVE ANY CONSIDERATION IN THE PROCESS, WE MUST SUBMIT AN APPLICATION REGARDLESS OF THE STATE OF COMPLETEDNESS IF WE DID NOT HAVE AN APPLICATION SUBMITTED, THERE WOULD BE NO FURTHER

CONVERSATION, AND SO WE PUT THIS UP TO THE CANADIAN PARTNERS ENCOURAGED AS THERE HAD BEEN SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT PULLING OUT, YOU KNOW, THE PARTNERS

DISCUSSED PULLING OUT PRIOR TO TO US GOING BACK, AND FLORIDA ACQUISITIONS MADE THE DECISION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE PROCESS WITH AN INCOMPLETE APPLICATION BASED ON OUR INTERPRETATION FROM THE MEETING AND CONTINUED TO WORK OUT THE DETAILS OF THE PROJECT.

OVER THE ENSUING WEEKS, OUR PARTNERS HAVE TRIED REPEATEDLY TO CONTACT SOMEONE HERE AT THE GAMING COMMISSION TO TALK ABOUT POSSIBLE CONCERNS AND QUESTIONS, AND IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING ATTEMPTS AT COMMUNICATION WERE UNSUCCESSFUL.

PERHAPS THAT IS ALSO TO BE EXPECTED.

I WAS SURPRISED AND SAD PENNED TO HEAR OF THE DISQUALIFICATION VIA THE WEBSITE BEGIN THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROJECT TO THE COMMUNITY, AND I CANT STRESS ENOUGH HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO US JUST TO BE IN THE GAME.

I KNOW THE GOVERNOR HAS MADE INTERNATIONAL MARKETS A PRIORITY FOR THE STATE.

THIS PROJECT IS UNIQUE IN THAT IT ADDRESSES THE STRATEGY FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH FOR THE STATE, AND I'M HOPEFUL THAT THAT STRATEGY AND THE POVERTY OF OUR COMMUNITY WILL COMPEL THIS GROUP TO RECONSIDER THE DETERMINATION AND GIVE US A CHANCE TO PARTICIPATE.

THIS COMMISSION HAS THE AUTHORITY AND DISCRETION TO RECONSIDER AND GRANT US OUR REQUEST, SO WE ONLY WANTED A CHANCE.

PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE HELP US.

>> THANK YOU.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT I GAVE YOU TWO EXTRA MINUTES.

>> I AM SO SORRY.

>> THANK YOU, MAYOR, FOR TRAVELING HERE FOR THOSE COMMENTS.

>> THE MAYOR, AS MEMBER OF THE BOARD, I THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN AND SPEAKING TO US

UNDERSTANDING HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO YOU AND TO THE RESIDENTS OF THE CONSTITUENCY OF THE CITY. THE PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE AND PROBABLY ONE OF THE MORE TRANSPARENT AND SKRUT NEWSED OF THE PROBLEMS THE STATE WILL EVER SEE IS THERE'S A SET OF RULES THAT LITERALLY EVERY APPLICANT HAS HAD TO ABIDE BY, AND IF WE MAKE -- I UNDERSTAND HOW IMPORTANT THIS MAY BE, BUT THERE IS AN UNFAIRNESS TO ALL THEM, EVERY OTHER APPLICANT AND TO OTHER CITIES AND MUNICIPALITIES TO SAY WE'RE GOING TO THROW THE RULES OUT AND WAIVER THIS. WITH STAFF, WE'VE BEEN SCRUPULOUS IN FOLLOWING -- OKAY, SAVING MONEY, BUT I THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU LOOK AT BOTH THE REQUESTS FOR, I THINK IT WAS HALF OF THE AMOUNT TO BE SUBMITTED AS WELL AS EXTENSION IN TIME, IT'S SOMEHOW UNDERMINDS EFFORTS THAT EVERYBODY ELSE MADE, AND THAT IS, AND I UNDERSTAND, YOU KNOW, HOW IMPORTANT THIS IS. AT THE SAME POINT, WE HAVE PUSHED AND BEEN SCRUPULOUS OF EVERYBODY PLAYING BY ONE SET OF RULES.

>> YES.

>> WE WE UNDERMIND THE ENTIRE PROCESS.

I THINK THAT WAS WHY STAFF LOOKED AND RECOMMENDATION IS BEFORE US BECAUSE IT UNDERMINDS EVERY EFFORT MADE IN A TRANSPARENT OPENNESS TIME FRAME IF WE CHANGE THE RULE. THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO EXPRESS. AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR SPEAKING TO US.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, MAYOR.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANY ACTION WE TAKE WILL NOT, IN ANY WAY, DISMISS THE MAYOR COMMENTS ABOUT THE ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE COMMUNITY. WE SUPPORT THE EFFORTS, BUT THERE IS A PROCESS.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON MENTIONED.
THERE IS A MOTION ON THE TABLE,
AND A SECOND, AND IS THERE ANY
OTHER DISCUSSION BY ANY OTHER
COMMISSIONERS?

>> JUST TO ECHO WHAT BILL SAID
VERY WELL.

>> OKAY.

SO, WE NEED TO TAKE AN ACTION ON
THE MOTION.

ALL IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION TO
DISQUALIFY THE FLORIDA
APPLICATION SAY AYE, ANY OPPOSE?
THE MOTION CARRIES.

NEXT ITEM UP?

>> NEW YORK STATE IMPAIRS
BRAYING LAW SECTION 306.9
AUTHORIZES THE BOARD TO PATROL
MULL GAIT RULES AND REGULATIONS
DEEM NECESSARY TO CARRY OUT ITS
RESPONSIBILITIES.

TO THAT REGARD, THE BOARD WILL
FROM TIME TO TIME HAVE RULES TO
THE ADMINISTRATIVE ACT.

ITEMS 4A AN 4B ARE COMPANION
PIECES, RULES CONSIDERATE OF
ADOPTION.

ON MARCH 31st, 2014, THE GAMING
FACILITY LOCATION BOARD ADOPTED
EMERGENCY RULES PRESCRIBING THE
FEE INFORMATION FOR GAMING
FACILITY APPLICANTS AND TO
ENABLE THE BOARD TO HAVE A
HEARING PROCEDURE IN PLACE
BEFORE ANY POTENTIAL PUBLIC
HEARING OCCURS.

THE BOARD READOPTED THE
EMERGENCY RULES ON MAY 12th,
2014, AND PROPOSED THE SAME
RULES FOR PERMANENT ADOPTION.
IF ADOPTED TODAY, THE RULES
WOULD BE EFFECTIVE AS PERMANENT
UPON PUBLICATION IN THE STATE
REGISTER, THAT COULD OCCUR AS
EARLY AS AUGUST 27th, 2014.
THE EMERGENCY RULES, HOWEVER,
REMAIN IN EFFECT THROUGH AUGUST
25th, 2014, HENCE ITEM 4B,
EMERGENCY RULE MAKING EXTENSION
PER TAPING TO THE VERY RULES
PREVENTING THE RULES FROM
EXPIRING, THE SUBJECT HAS NOT
CHANGED SIPSZ THE EMERGENCY
READOPTION ON MAY 12, 2014.

THE BOARD NOW MAY CONSIDER THIS
TIMELY -- THE RULES FOR
PERMANENT ADOPTION.

WHILE NO PUBLIC COMMENT WAS
FORMALLY RECEIVED IN REGARD TO
THE NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE
MAKING, STAFF IS AWARE THAT
REPRESENTATIVES OF MONTGOMERY
COUNTY ASKED THE BOARD REDUCE
FEE APUBLICLE TO THE COUNTY BY
ONE-HALF OR ALLOW OTHER
MECHANISMS RESULTING IN THE
HALF.

PRIOR THE FORMER RULE MAKING
PROCESS, INDIVIDUALLY CONSIDERED
BY ALL BOARD MEMBERS AT THAT
TIME AND REJECTED IS NOT
FEASIBLE OR FAIR TO REJECT
PROVISIONS OF THE RFA OR MAKE
INDIVIDUAL REQUESTS OF THE
BIDDER AND TO DO SO CREATES AN
UP FAIR BIDDING PROCESS FOR
EVERY OTHER POTENTIAL BIDDER.
REPORTEDLY, THE CONSIDERATION
NOW IS, ONE, THE PROPOSED
ADOPTION OF THE RULES PERTAINING
TO THE GAMING FACILITY ASK FOR
APPLICATION AND RELATED FEES AND
HEARINGS AS PERMANENT RULES,
AND, 2, READOPTION OF THE RULES
PERTAINING TO GAMING FACILITY
REQUESTS FOR APPLICATION AND
RELATED FEES, HEARINGS AS AN
EMERGENCY RULE WITH SUCH
READOPTON FILED WITH THE
DEPARTMENT OF STATE PRIOR TO
EXPIRATION OF THE CURRENT
EMERGENCY RULES.

>> ANY QUESTIONS?

>> READOPTION LASTS AN
ADDITIONAL 60 DAYS?

EXPECTED DURING THE 60 DAYS THE
PERMANENT RULES COME INTO
EFFECT?

>> THEY WOULD BE EXPECTED IF YOU
APPROVED THEM FOR PERMANENT
ADOPTION TODAY, EFFECTIVE AUGUST
27th.

>> VERY GOOD.

>> ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON THE
RULES OR THE FEES?

>> A MOTION FOR THE READOPTION
OF THE RULES PERTAINING TO THE
GAMING FACILITY REQUEST FOR

APPLICATION RELATED FEES AND
HEARINGS AS EMERGENCY RULES?
ANY SECOND?
>> SECOND.
>> ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?
ALL IN FAVOR?
ANY OPPOSE?
MOTION CARRIES.
>> I NEED A MOTION NOW TO ADOPT
RULES TO GAMING FACILITY
REQUESTS, APPLICATIONS,
HEARINGS, AS PERMANENT RULES.
MOTION?
SECOND?
DISCUSSION ON THAT?
ALL IN FAVOR?
ANY OPPOSED?
THAT MOTION CARRIES.
ROB, WHAT'S NEXT?
>> ITEMS 4C AND 4D, COMPANION
ITEMS, RULES FOR CONSIDERATION
OF PERMANENT ADOPTION.
MAY 12th, 2014, THE GAMING
FACILITY BOARD ADOPTED EMERGENCY
RULES PRESCRIBING MINIMUM
CAPITAL INVESTMENT FOR GAMING
FACILITY LICENSE.
THE BOARD ADOPTED, AS OF THE
EMERGENCY RULES ON THAT DAY, AND
PROPOSED THE SAME RULES FOR
PERMANENT ADOPTION.
IF ADOPTED, THERE'S PERMANENT
RULES, AND THAT COULD OCCUR, AND
IT IS EFFECTIVE AUGUST 10 th
2014, HENCE ITEM 4D, EXTENSION
OF THE EMERGENCY RULE MAKING PER
TAPING TO THE VERY RULE.
IT'S DESIGN TO PREVENT THE RULE
FROM EXPIRING WHILE THE
EFFECTIVE DATE IS PENDING.
THE TEXT OF THE RULE HAS NOT
CHANGED SINCE THE EMERGENCY
ADOPTION OF MAY 12th, 2014, AND
THE BOARD MAY TIMELY CONSIDER
RULES FOR PERMANENT ADOPTION.
NO PUBLIC COMMENT WAS RECEIVED.
ACCORDINGLY, FOR COMMISSION
CONSIDERATION IS PROPOSED
ADOPTION OF THE RULES PER TAPING
TO THE MINIMUM CAPITAL
INVESTMENT FOR FACILITY LICENSE
AND, TWO, READOPTION OF THE
RULES PER TAPING TO MINIMUM
CAPITAL INVESTMENT FOR GAMING

FACILITY LICENSE AS AN EMERGENCY
RULE FOR READOPTION TO BE FILED
WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE
PRIOR TO EXPIRATION OF THE
CURRENT EMERGENCY RULES.

>> WHAT IS THE RATIONALE BEHIND
THE DIFFERENT FEES FOR DIFFERENT
LOCATIONS?

IF THAT IS THE CASE, CORRECT?

>> CORRECT.

WE ENDED UP DOING AN ANALYSIS
WITH THE GAME FACILITY LOCATION
BOARDS' CONSULTANT TO MAKE A
DETERMINATION ON WHAT WAS
EQUITABLE AND PROPER DUE TO THE
POPULATION AREAS AND OTHER
AMENITIES, THEY WERE SET
DIFFERENTLY.

WHAT ULTIMATELY RESULTED WAS
WHAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU, A
DIFFERENT SET FOR EACH OF THE
THREE DIFFERENT LOCATIONS.

>> ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

SO WE WILL NEED A MOTION FOR THE
READOPTON OF THE RULES
PERTAINING TO THE MINIMUM
CAPITAL INVESTMENT PER GAME
FACILITY LICENSE AS A RULE.
MOTION?

SECOND?

ANY COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?

ALL IN FAVOR?

ANY OPPOSED?

MOTION CARRIES.

NOW WE NEED A MOTION TO ADOPT
THE RULES PERTAINING TO THE
MINIMUM CAPITAL INVESTMENT PER
TAPING TO THE LICENSE AS
PERMANENT RULES, IN FORM OF THE
MOTION, A SECOND?

AND SECOND?

ANY DISCUSSION?

ALL IN FAVOR?

ANY OPPOSED?

THAT MOTION CARRIES AS WELL.
NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IN THE
REQUEST FOR APPLICATION, THE
BOARD COMMITTED TO A FEW PUBLIC
EVENTS, SPECIFICALLY WE WILL
UNDERTAKE AN APPLICANT
PRESENTATION AND REGIONAL PUBLIC
COMMENTS EVENT.

STAFF WILL SOON BE ISSUING A
GUIDANCE DOCUMENT OUTLINING THE

APPLICANT PRESENTATION EVENT IN IDENTIFYING PRESENTATION EXPECTATIONS AND LIMITATIONS. ROB, THE GUIDANCE, CAN YOU SHARE WITH THE COMMISSION WHAT YOUR INTENTIONS ARE AND OUTLINE EACH EVENT?

>> YES, SIR.

.
THE REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS PROVIDES EACH APPLICANT IS AFFORDED AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE AN INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION OF THE APPLICATION TO THE BOARD. THE PRESENTATION IS INTENDED TO AFFORD EACH APPLICANT THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH AN OVERVIEW OF THE CONTENTS OF THE APPLICATION, EXPLAIN PARTICULAR COMPLEX INFORMATION, AND LIGHT SPECIFIC AREAS THAT IT DESIRES.

THE APPLICATIONS ARE CONDUCTED SEPTEMBER 8th AND 9th, MEETING ROOM 6 OF THE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA.

ALLOWED IN THE ROOM AT 8: 230 -- 8:30 IN THE MORNING.

FROM A PRACTICAL VIEW, ANTICIPATE PRESENTING FOR 45 MINUTES, RESERVING 15 MINUTES FOR QUESTIONS BY BOARD MEMBERS. WE'LL PROVIDE COMPUTER FOR AUDIO/VISUAL APPLICATIONS, A PROJECTOR, SCREEN, OTHER EQUIPMENT TO DISPLAY ANY OTHER MATERIALS LIKE POSTERS, ET CETERA, THEY MAY WANT TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION.

THE PRESENTATIONS WILL BE WEB STREAMED, THUS ALLOWING THOSE INTERESTED PEOPLE WHO CAN'T OTHERWISE ATTEND THE PROCEEDINGS.

WE'LL ARCHIVE A VIDEO ON THE WEB PAGE SO THAT ANYONE INTERESTED CAN ACCESS THE PROCEEDINGS AT THEIR OWN CONVENIENCE.

THEY HOST A GAMING FACILITY, AND THE DATES AND LOCATIONS OF THE MEETINGS ARE SEPTEMBER 22nd, IN ALBANY AT THE HOLIDAY INN TURF ROAD, AND WE WILL BE IN POUGHKEEPSIE GRAND VIEW NEAR THE

TRAIN STATION, AND THE FOLLOWING DAY, SEPTEMBER 24th, WE WILL BE IN ITHICA AT THE HOTEL ITHICA. PURPOSE.

EVENTS IS TO AFFORD INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS AN OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE CONCEPTS OF THE CASINO GAMBLING OR SPECIFIC COMMENTS REGARDING APPLICANTS IN THE REGION.

WE ANTICIPATE EACH OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT EVENTS TO EXTEND ALL DAY AND INTO THE EVENING TO ACCOMMODATE INDIVIDUALS WHO OTHERWISE MAY BE UNABLE TO ATTEND DURING THE WORKING DAY. FOR THOSE UNWILLING OR UNABLE TO ATTEND, WE WILL ALSO ACCEPT WRITTEN COMMENTS THAT ARE ADDRESSED TO THE GAMING FACILITY LOCATION BOARD.

LIKE THE APPLICANT PRESENTATIONS, THE PUBLIC COMMENT EVENTS WILL BE WEB STREAM AND ARCHIVED FOR PEOPLE'S VIEWING WHEN THEY'D LIKE.

WE WILL SHORTLY BE ISSUING A GUIDANCE DOCUMENT THAT OUTLINES PARAMETERS OF THE BOARD'S EXPECTATIONS AND PARTICIPANT LIMITATIONS FOR BOTH THE APPLICANT PRESENTATIONS AND FOR THE PUBLIC TO COMMENT ABOUT.

>> SHOULD LET RECORD REFLECT THAT THIS BOARD, ALL VOLUNTEERS, NONE OF US RECEIVE ANY COMPENSATION FOR OUR SERVICES, AND, THUS, WE HAVE DAY JOBS AND OTHER COMMITMENTS, AND THAT NOT ALL OF US CAN MAKE EVERY ONE OF THESE PRESENTATIONS, BUT STAFF CHECKS THE SCHEDULES, AND WILL BE ABLE TO CHECK THE FIVE DATES SHARED, AND IT'S NOT A REFLECTION ON US IF WE ARE NOT ABLE TO MAKE THE MEETING IN PERSON, AND THROUGHOUT THE DAY, WE'LL TRY TO MAKE EFFORTS TO PARTICIPATE ELECTRONICALLY FOR THE DAYS WE'RE NOT ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN PERSON.

I KNOW THAT FROM FIRST HAND BECAUSE I KNOW I CAN'T MAKE THE SECOND DAYS OF THE HEARINGS IN

ALBANY, BUT WE'LL DO THE BEST TO MAKE THE OTHERS.

ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS ON THE TWO HEARINGS DATES FOR THE APP CANTS OR THREE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETINGS IN THE SECTIONS OF THE STATE WHERE THE CASINOS MAY BE LOCATED?

>> THE STAFF SHOULD BE CONGRATULATED ON THE EXTENSIVE EFFORTS.

>> THE COORDINATION OF THE SCHEDULES SHOULD BE COMMENDED.

THAT WAS SOMETHING.

>> ALL RIGHT: OKAY.

>> THANK YOU, ROB, BUSINESS FOR COMMISSIONERS TO RAISE?

THERE WAS RECENTLY ARTICLES IN UPSTATE MEDIA REGARDING SOME COMPUTER GLITCHES WITH OUR SYSTEM AS WE TRY TO PUT THE INFORMATION ON THE WEBSITE. HAVE YOU TAKEN STEPS TO CORRECT THE GLITCH?

>> THAT'S RIGHT.

WE HAD A PROBLEM WITH GETTING THE INFORMATION UPLOADED, HAD TWO SETS, AND THE SHEER VOLUME WAS UNSTABLE.

>> WE HAD TO HAVE IF STABLE ALLOWING EVERYONE TO ACCESS THE MATERIAL.

WHAT WE DID WAS PUT UP THE FULL REDACTED VERSIONS OF EACH APPLICATION AS WERE FILED WITH THE GAMING COMMISSION.

THESE ARE REDAGTED ELEMENTS AS THEY DETERMINE THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION LAW REDACTIONS SHOULD BE.

STAFF IS GOING THROUGH THAT NOW, LEGAL STAFF, TO ENSURE EACH REDACTION OF THE INDIVIDUAL COMPANIES POSTED ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE FREEDOM INFORMATION LAW.

IF WE FIND THEY ARE INCONSISTENT WITH THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION LAW, THAT STATUTE ACTUALLY HAS REMEDIATION PROCESS SO IF THEY REDABLGTED SOMETHING THAT SHOULDN'T BE, THERE'S A PROCESS BY WHICH THAT'LL BE RESOLVED AND

ULTIMATELY, THERE MAY BE SOME MATERIALS OUT OF THAT STRAIGHT INTO UNREDACTED FORM.

THERE WAS INCIDENTS MATERIALS PROVIDED WERE POSTED THAT WERE UNREDACTED AS APP CAPTAINS LOOK AT APPLICATIONS, NOTICING THAT THEY ASKED TO PULL IT DOWN AND POST SOMETHING IN REDACTED FORMAT, TAX RETURNS OF ONE COMPANY POSTED UNREDACTED WITH PERSONAL INFORMATION ON IT.

WE HONORED THAT, TOOK IT DOWN, AND IT'S BEEN FILED.

EVERYTHING ON THE WEB NOW IS APPROPRIATELY REDAGTED AS PROVIDED TO US PRIOR TO LEGAL REVIEW, UP, RUNNING, AND NO CRASHES.

>> OKAY.

>> ONE OTHER THING I WANTED TO MENTION AS WELL, AND I HAVE STARTED TO RECEIVE SOME E-MAILS FROM PEOPLE IN COMMUNITIES WHERE CASINOS ARE PROPOSED, MOST IN OPPOSITION, AND I INTEND TO FORWARD ALL E-MAILS TO STAFF, AND I ASK STAFF DIARY AND CATALOG E-MAILS FROM THE COMMUNITY AND ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT THEREOF BECAUSE I DON'T INTEND TO COMMENT INDIVIDUALLY. YOU KNOW, WE'RE A BOARD HERE. WE SHOULD BE RESPONDING AS A BOARD TO STAFF, AND I WOULD REM THAT TO MY COLLEAGUES AS WELL AS THEY START GETTING IN COMMUNICATION.

>> WHAT DO YOU SUGGEST TO THE PUBLIC RIGHT NOW THAT RATHER THAN TRYING TO CONTACT ANYONE THAT THEY REACH OUT TO STAFF, AND STAFF IS GOING TO PROVIDE THAT TO US, AND THAT'S A MUCH BETTER WAY, AND EASIER WAY AND SMARTER WAY FOR PEOPLE TO BE ABLE TO INTERACT RIGHT NOW.

>> WE HAVE BEEN CATALOGING ALL THE DIFFERENT PIECES OF E-MAILS, LITERATURE, LETTERS, ET CETERA. WE'VE BEEN CATALOGING THE CALL BACK FOR YOUR REVIEW WHEN YOU STARTED DELIBERATIONS, AND WE HAVE ALSO BEEN RESPONSIVE IN

SENDING ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.
>> GREAT, EXCELLENT.
I LIKE BILL'S SUGGESTION BETTER
ANYWAY.
ANY OLD BUSINESS WE NEED TO
CONDUCT?
HEARING NONE, THAT CONCLUDES
OFFICIAL PUBLISHED PORTION OF
THE AGENDA, AND ANY OF THE
COMMISSIONERS WANT TO RAISE ANY
OTHER ISSUES AT THIS TIME?
HEARING NONE, TAKE A MOTION TO
ADJOURN.
A SECOND?
ALL IN FAVOR?
MEETING IS ADJOURNED, THANK YOU
VERY MUCH.
>> THANK YOU.